# Tracing Information Flows Between Ad Exchanges Using Retargeted Ads

Muhammad Ahmad Bashir, Sajjad Arshad, William Robertson, Christo Wilson

Northeastern University

### Your Privacy Footprint

### Your Privacy Footprint











- RTB brings more flexibility in the ad ecosystem.
  - Ad request managed by an Ad Exchange which holds an auction.
  - Advertisers bid on each ad impression.

- RTB brings more flexibility in the ad ecosystem.
  - Ad request managed by an Ad Exchange which holds an auction.
  - Advertisers bid on each ad impression.



- RTB brings more flexibility in the ad ecosystem.
  - Ad request managed by an Ad Exchange which holds an auction.
  - Advertisers bid on each ad impression.



- RTB spending to cross \$20B by 2017<sup>[1]</sup>.
  - 49% annual growth.
  - Will account for 80% of US Display Ad spending by 2022.

[1] http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/new-idc-study-shows-real-time-bidding-rtb-display-ad-spend-to-grow-worldwide-to-208-billion-by-2017-228061051.html



# Publisher



















GET, DoubleClick's Cookie









Key problem: Advertisers cannot read their cookies in the RTB auction

• How can they submit reasonable bids if they cannot identify the user?

- Also known as cookie synching
- Process of linking the identifiers used by two ad exchanges







Key problem: Advertisers cannot read their cookies in the RTB auction

• How can they submit reasonable bids if they cannot identify the user?

#### Solution: cookie matching

- Also known as cookie synching
- Process of linking the identifiers used by two ad exchanges

GET, Cookie=12345 301 Redirect, Location=http://criteo.com/?dblclk_id=12345		doubleclick by Google

Crite

Key problem: Advertisers cannot read their cookies in the RTB auction

• How can they submit reasonable bids if they cannot identify the user?

- Also known as cookie synching
- Process of linking the identifiers used by two ad exchanges



Key problem: Advertisers cannot read their cookies in the RTB auction

• How can they submit reasonable bids if they cannot identify the user?

- Also known as cookie synching
- Process of linking the identifiers used by two ad exchanges



Key problem: Advertisers cannot read their cookies in the RTB auction

• How can they submit reasonable bids if they cannot identify the user?

- Also known as cookie synching
- Process of linking the identifiers used by two ad exchanges



Key problem: Advertisers cannot read their cookies in the RTB auction

• How can they submit reasonable bids if they cannot identify the user?

- Also known as cookie synching
- Process of linking the identifiers used by two ad exchanges



# Prior Work

- Several studies have examined cookie matching
  - Acar et al. found hundreds of domains passing identifiers to each other
  - Olejnik et al. found 125 exchanges matching cookies
  - Falahrastegar *et al.* analyzed clusters of exchanges that share the exact same cookies

# Prior Work

- Several studies have examined cookie matching
  - Acar et al. found hundreds of domains passing identifiers to each other
  - Olejnik et al. found 125 exchanges matching cookies
  - Falahrastegar *et al.* analyzed clusters of exchanges that share the exact same cookies
- These studies rely on studying HTTP requests/responses.

### Challenge 1: Server Side Matching

#### Challenge 1: Server Side Matching

1)

criteo

Criteo observes the user. (IP: 207.91.160.7)





Behind the scene, RightMedia and Criteo sync up.












#### Challenge 2: Obfuscation



#### Challenge 2: Obfuscation



#### Challenge 2: Obfuscation



#### Goal

Develop a method to identify information flows (cookie matching) between ad exchanges

- Mechanism agnostic: resilient to obfuscation
- Platform agnostic: detect sharing on the client- and server-side

#### Goal

## Develop a method to identify information flows (cookie matching) between ad exchanges

- Mechanism agnostic: resilient to obfuscation
- Platform agnostic: detect sharing on the client- and server-side



#### Key Insight: Use Retargeted Ads

#### Retargeted ads are the most highly targeted form of online ads



Cisco-Linksys AE1000 High-Performance Wireless-N Adapter by Linksys 207 customer reviews | 10 answered questions Price: \$15.99 *Prime* 

Only 1 left in stock. Want it Tuesday, June 14? Order within 33 hrs 50 mins and choose One-Day Shipping at checkout. Details Sold by Home Sweet Home Direct and Fulfilled by Amazon.

Eligible for amazonsmile donation.



Want to hire a computer technician? Buy professional computer technician services directly on Amazon. Backed by our Happiness Guarantee. Learn more

Networking Equipment Features: WEP Security, WPA Security, Easy Setup, WPA2

#### Key Insight: Use Retargeted Ads

#### Retargeted ads are the most highly targeted form of online ads



Cisco-Linksys AE1000 High-Performance Wireless-N Adapter by Linksys 207 customer reviews | 10 answered questions

Price: \$15.99 *(prime*)

#### Only 1 left in stock. Want it Tuesday, June 14? Order within 33 hrs 50 mins and choose One-Day Shipping at checkout. Details Sold by Home Sweet Home Direct and Fulfilled by Amazon.

Eligible for amazonsmile donation.



Want to hire a computer technician?

Buy professional computer technician services directly on Amazon. Backed by our Happiness Guarantee.

Networking Equipment Features: WEP Security, WPA Security, Easy Setup, WPA2



## Key Insight: Use Retargeted Ads

#### Retargeted ads are the most highly targeted form of online ads





Networking Equipment Features: WEP Security, WPA Security, Easy Setup, WPA2



Key insight: because retargets are so specific, they can be used to conduct controlled experiments

• Information must be shared between ad exchanges to serve retargeted ads

#### Contributions

- 1. Novel methodology for identifying information flows between ad exchanges
- 2. Demonstrate the impact of ad network obfuscation in practice
  - 31% of cookie matching partners cannot be identified using heuristics
- 3. Develop a method to categorize information sharing relationships
- 4. Use graph analysis to infer the roles of actors in the ad ecosystem

#### Contributions

- 1. Novel methodology for identifying information flows between ad exchanges
- 2. Demonstrate the impact of ad network obfuscation in practice
  - 31% of cookie matching partners cannot be identified using heuristics
- 3. Develop a method to categorize information sharing relationships
- 4. Use graph analysis to infer the roles of actors in the ad ecosystem

# Data Collection Classifying Ad Network Flows Results









Key observation: retargets are only served under very specific circumstances



This implies a causal flow of information from Exchange  $\rightarrow$  Advertiser















- Total 1,142 Tasks.
- 30 ads / Task.
- 27 unlabeled.
- 3 labeled by us.
- 2 workers per ad.
- \$415 spent.

- Total 1,142 Tasks.
- 30 ads / Task.
- 27 unlabeled.
- 3 labeled by us.
- 2 workers per ad.
- \$415 spent.

Your response	Image
Select the appropriate category for the image. shopping_jewelrydiamonds Rings, necklace, etc. None of the above	You Design It • We Build It We
Save and Continue	

- Total 1,142 Tasks.
- 30 ads / Task.
- 27 unlabeled.
- 3 labeled by us.
- 2 workers per ad.
- \$415 spent.

Your response	Image
Your response   Select the appropriate category for the image.   shopping_jewelrydiamonds   Rings, necklace, etc.   None of the above   Does this image say it came from one the following websites?   adiamor.com   bluenile.com   gilletts.com.au   lumeradiamonds.com   shaneco.com   szul.com   totaram.com   washingtondiamond.com   whiteflash.com	Image You Design It • We Build It Image Free Shipping • 30-Day Returns
No Save and Continue	DIAMONDS & FINE JEWELRY

- Total 1,142 Tasks.
- 30 ads / Task.
- 27 unlabeled.
- 3 labeled by us.
- 2 workers per ad.
- \$415 spent.



#### Final Dataset

5,102 unique retargeted ads

• From 281 distinct online retailers

35,448 publisher-side chains that served the retargets

• We observed some retargets multiple times



## Data Collection

## Classifying Ad Network Flows Results

Publisher-side chain



Shopper-side chain



Example

**Publisher-side chain** 



18

Shopper-side chain

a criteol.

Publisher-side chain



• How does Criteo know to serve ad on BBC?

Shopper-side chain



**Publisher-side chain** 



- How does Criteo know to serve ad on BBC?
  - In this case it is pretty trivial.
  - Criteo observed us on the shopper.
# A look at Publisher Chains

Shopper-side chain



**Publisher-side chain** 



- How does Criteo know to serve ad on BBC?
  - In this case it is pretty trivial.
  - Criteo observed us on the shopper.
  - Can we classify all such publisher-side chains?





































# Four Classifications

Four possible ways for a retargeted ad to be served

- 1. Direct (Trivial) Matching
- 2. Cookie Matching
- 3. Indirect Matching
- 4. Latent (Server-side) Matching

# Four Classifications

Four possible ways for a retargeted ad to be served

- 1. Direct (Trivial) Matching
- 2. Cookie Matching
- 3. Indirect Matching
  - 4. Latent (Server-side) Matching

Shopper-side

**Publisher-side** 





Shopper-side

**Publisher-side** 





.\*\$

Shopper-side

**Publisher-side** 

Rule



\*`

а

^shop

Shopper-side

**Publisher-side** 















**Publisher-side** 







































2) Cookie Matching



Shopper-side

**Publisher-side** 





Rule

Shopper-side

**Publisher-side** 

Rule









Shopper-side

**Publisher-side** 

Rule









Shopper-side

**Publisher-side** 







^pub → .\* → e → a\$

*a* must receive information from some shopper-side tracker

Shopper-side

**Publisher-side** 









*a* must receive information from some shopper-side tracker

We find latent matches in practice!

# Data Collection Classifying Ad Network Flows Results

#### **Raw Chains**

Туре	Chains	%
Direct (Trivial) Match	1770	5
Cookie Match	25049	71
Latent (Server-side) Match	5362	15
No Match	775	2

#### **Raw Chains**

Туре	Chains	%
Direct (Trivial) Match	1770	5
Cookie Match	25049	71
Latent (Server-side) Match	5362	15
No Match	775	2

#### Take away:

#### **Raw Chains**

Туре	Chains	%
Direct (Trivial) Match	1770	5
Cookie Match	25049	71
Latent (Server-side) Match	5362	15
No Match	775	2

#### Take away:

1- As expected, most retargets are due to cookie matching

#### **Raw Chains**

Туре	Chains	%
Direct (Trivial) Match	1770	5
Cookie Match	25049	71
Latent (Server-side) Match	5362	15
No Match	775	2

#### Take away:

- 1- As expected, most retargets are due to cookie matching
- 2- Very small number of chains that cannot be categorized
  - Suggests low false positive rate of AMT image labeling task

#### **Raw Chains**

Туре	Chains	%
Direct (Trivial) Match	1770	5
Cookie Match	25049	71
Latent (Server-side) Match	5362	15
No Match	775	2

#### Take away:

- 1- As expected, most retargets are due to cookie matching
- 2- Very small number of chains that cannot be categorized
  - Suggests low false positive rate of AMT image labeling task
- 3- Surprisingly large amount latent matches...
#### Categorizing Chains

	Raw Cha	ains	Cluster Chair	red 1s
Туре	Chains	%	Chains	%
Direct (Trivial) Match	1770	5	8449	24
Cookie Match	25049	71	25873	73
Latent (Server-side) Match	5362	15	343	1
No Match	775	2	183	1

Cluster together domains by "owner"

• E.g. google.com, doubleclick.com, googlesyndication.com

#### Categorizing Chains

	Raw Cha	ains	Cluster Chair	red 1s
Туре	Chains	%	Chains	%
Direct (Trivial) Match	1770	5	8449	24
Cookie Match	25049	71	25873	73
Latent (Server-side) Match	5362	15	343	1
No Match	775	2	183	1

Cluster together domains by "owner"

• E.g. google.com, doubleclick.com, googlesyndication.com

Latent matches essentially disappear

- The vast majority of these chains involve Google
- Suggests that Google shares tracking data across their services

Participant 1		Participant 2	Chains	Ads	Heuristics
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	googlesyndication	9090	1887	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	doubleclick	3610	1144	$\rightarrow$ E, P $\leftarrow$ DC, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	3263	1066	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	rubiconproject	1586	749	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	servedbyopenx	707	460	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
doubleclick	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	362	27	$\rightarrow$ P $\leftarrow$ E, P
mathtag	$\leftrightarrow$	mediaforge	360	124	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
netmng	$\leftrightarrow$	scene7	267	119	$\rightarrow$ E $\leftarrow$ ?
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	adsrvr	107	29	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
rubiconproject	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	86	30	$\leftarrow \rightarrow E$
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	47	22	?
adtechus	$\rightarrow$	adacado	36	18	?
atwola	$\rightarrow$	adacado	32	6	?
adroll	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	31	8	?

Participant 1		Participant 2	Chains	Ads	Heuristics
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	googlesyndication	9090	1887	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	doubleclick	3610	1144	$\rightarrow$ E, P $\leftarrow$ DC, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	3263	1066	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	rubiconproject	1586	749	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	servedbyopenx	707	460	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ P
doubleclick	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	362	27	→ P ← E, P
mathtag	$\leftrightarrow$	mediaforge	360	124	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
netmng	$\leftrightarrow$	scene7	267	119	$\rightarrow$ E $\leftarrow$ ?
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	adsrvr	107	29	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ P
rubiconproject	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	86	30	$\leftarrow \rightarrow E$
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	47	22	?
adtechus	$\rightarrow$	adacado	36	18	?
atwola	$\rightarrow$	adacado	32	6	?
adroll	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	31	8	?

Participant 1		Participant 2	Chains	Ads	Heuristics
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	googlesyndication	9090	1887	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	doubleclick	3610	1144	$\rightarrow$ E, P $\leftarrow$ DC, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	3263	1066	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	rubiconproject	1586	749	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	servedbyopenx	707	460	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ P
doubleclick	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	362	27	→ P ← E, P
mathtag	$\leftrightarrow$	mediaforge	360	124	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
netmng	$\leftrightarrow$	scene7	267	119	$\rightarrow$ E $\leftarrow$ ?
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	adsrvr	107	29	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ P
rubiconproject	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	86	30	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow E$
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	47	22	?
adtechus	$\rightarrow$	adacado	36	18	?
atwola	$\rightarrow$	adacado	32	6	?
adroll	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	31	8	?

Participant 1		Participant 2	Chains	Ad	Heuristics
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	googlesyndication	9090	1887	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	doubleclick	3610	1144	$\rightarrow$ E, P $\leftarrow$ DC, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	3263	1066	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	rubiconproject	1586	749	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	servedbyopenx	707	460	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ P
doubleclick	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	362	27	$\rightarrow$ P $\leftarrow$ E, P
mathtag	$\leftrightarrow$	mediaforge	360	124	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
netmng	$\leftrightarrow$	scene7	267	119	$\rightarrow$ E $\leftarrow$ ?
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	adsrvr	107	29	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ P
rubiconproject	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	86	30	$\leftarrow \rightarrow E$
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	47	22	?
adtechus	$\rightarrow$	adacado	36	18	?
atwola	$\rightarrow$	adacado	32	E	?
adroll	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	31	8	?

Participant 1		Participant 2	Chains	Ad	Heuristics
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	googlesyndication	9090	1887	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	doubleclick	3610	1144	$\rightarrow$ E, P $\leftarrow$ DC, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	3263	1066	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	rubiconproject	1586	749	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	servedbyopenx	707	46(	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
doubleclick	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	362	27	$\rightarrow$ P $\leftarrow$ E, P
mathtag	$\leftrightarrow$	mediaforge	360	124	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
netmng	$\leftrightarrow$	scene7	267	119	$\rightarrow$ E $\leftarrow$ ?
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	adsrvr	107	29	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
rubiconproject	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	86	3(	$\leftarrow \rightarrow E$
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	47	22	?
adtechus	$\rightarrow$	adacado	36	18	?
atwola	$\rightarrow$	adacado	32	E	?
adroll	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	31	8	?

Participant 1		Participant 2	Chains	Ad	Heuristics
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	googlesyndication	9090	1887	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	doubleclick	3610	1144	$\rightarrow$ E, P $\leftarrow$ DC, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	3263	1066	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	rubiconproject	1586	749	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	servedbyopenx	707	460	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
doubleclick	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	362	27	$\rightarrow$ P $\leftarrow$ E, P
mathtag	$\leftrightarrow$	mediaforge	360	124	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
netmng	$\leftrightarrow$	scene7	267	119	$\rightarrow$ E $\leftarrow$ ?
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	adsrvr	107	29	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
rubiconproject	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	86	3(	$\leftarrow \rightarrow E$
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	47	22	?
adtechus	$\rightarrow$	adacado	36	18	?
atwola	$\rightarrow$	adacado	32	E	?
adroll	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	31	8	?

Participant 1		Participant 2	Chains	Ad	Heuristics
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	googlesyndication	9090	1887	$\leftarrow \rightarrow P$
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	doubleclick	3610	1144	$\rightarrow$ E, P $\leftarrow$ DC, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	3263	1066	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	rubiconproject	1586	749	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
criteo	$\leftrightarrow$	servedbyopenx	707	460	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ P
doubleclick	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	362	27	$\rightarrow$ P $\leftarrow$ E, P
mathtag	$\leftrightarrow$	mediaforge	360	124	$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ E, P
netmng	$\leftrightarrow$	scene7	267	119	$\rightarrow$ E $\leftarrow$ ?
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	adsrvr	107	29	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ P
rubiconproject	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	86	3(	$\leftarrow \rightarrow E$
googlesyndication	$\leftrightarrow$	steelhousemedia	47	22	?
adtechus	$\rightarrow$	adacado	36	18	?
atwola	$\rightarrow$	adacado	32	E	?
adroll	$\leftrightarrow$	adnxs	31	8	?

**Heuristics Key** (used by prior work) **E** – share exact cookies **P** – special URL parameters **DC** – DoubleClick **URL** parameters ? – Unknown sharing method 31% of cookie

matching partners would be missed.

## Summary

We develop a novel methodology to detect information flows between ad exchanges

- Controlled methodology enables causal inference
- Defeats obfuscation attempts
- Detects client- and server-side flows

#### Dataset gives a better picture of ad ecosystem

- Reveals which ad exchanges are linking information about users
- Allows us to reason about how information is being transferred



#### Inclusion Chains

- Instrumented Chromium binary that records the provenance of page elements
  - Uses Information Flow Analysis techniques (IFA)
  - Handles Flash, exec(), setTimeout(), cross-frame, inline scripts, etc.

#### Inclusion Chains

- Instrumented Chromium binary that records the provenance of page elements
  - Uses Information Flow Analysis techniques (IFA)
  - Handles Flash, exec(), setTimeout(), cross-frame, inline scripts, etc.

#### DOM: a.com/index.html

```
<html>
<body>
<script src="b.com/adlib.js"></script>
<iframe src="c.net/adbox.html">
<html>
<html>
<script src="code.js"></script>
<object data="d.org/flash.swf">
</object>
</object>
</html>
</body>
</html>
```

#### Inclusion Chains

- Instrumented Chromium binary that records the provenance of page elements
  - Uses Information Flow Analysis techniques (IFA)
  - Handles Flash, exec(), setTimeout(), cross-frame, inline scripts, etc.





**Publisher-side** 





Example



**Publisher-side** 



Example



#### 

a\$ ^pub \* е



**Publisher-side** 





Example

^shop → [^a] → e → [^a]\$
Only the exchange
e appears on the
shopper-side...

 $^pub \rightarrow .* \rightarrow e \rightarrow a\$$ 



**Publisher-side** 



a\$



Example

^pub → .\* → e →

*e* must pass browsing history data to participants in the auction, thus no cookie matching is necessary

^shop → [^a] → e → [^a]\$
Only the exchange
e appears on the
shopper-side...



**Publisher-side** 





Rule

Example

^shop - [^a] + e - [^a]\$
Only the exchange
e appears on the
shopper-side...

^pub → .\* → e → a\$

*e* must pass browsing history data to participants in the auction, thus no cookie matching is necessary

We do not expect to find indirect matches in the data.

#### References

Gunes Acar, Christian Eubank, Steven Englehardt, Marc Juarez, Arvind Narayanan, Claudia Diaz. "The web never forgets: Persistent tracking mechanisms in the wild." CCS, 2014.

Muhammad Ahmad Bashir, Sajjad Arshad, William Robertson, Christo Wilson. "Tracing Information Flows Between Ad Exchanges Using Retargeted Ads." Usenix Security, 2016.

Marjan Falahrastegar, Hamed Haddadi, Steve Uhlig, Richard Mortier. "Tracking personal identifiers across the web." PAM, 2016.

Lukasz Olejnik, Tran Minh-Dung, Claude Castelluccia. "Selling off privacy at auction." NDSS, 2014.

Filter	Total Unique Images
All images from the crawlers	571,636

Filter	Total Unique Images
All images from the crawlers	571,636
Use EasyList to identify advertisements	93,726

Filter	Total Unique Images
All images from the crawlers	571,636
Use EasyList to identify advertisements	93,726
Remove ads that are shown to >1 persona	31,850

- Personas visited non-overlapping retailers
  - By definition, retargets should only be shown to a single persona

Filter	Total Unique Images
All images from the crawlers	571,636
Use EasyList to identify advertisements	93,726
Remove ads that are shown to >1 persona	31,850
Use crowdsourcing to locate retargets	5,102

- Personas visited non-overlapping retailers
  - By definition, retargets should only be shown to a single persona
- Spent \$415 uploading 1,142 HITs to Amazon Mechanical Turk
  - Each HIT asked the worker to label 30 ad images
  - 27 were unlabeled, 3 were known retargets (control images)
  - All ads were labeled by 2 workers
  - Any ad identified as *targeted* was also manually inspected by us